

REMARKS

The examiner has objected to claim 21 as being informal. Claim 21 has been replaced with the new claim 37, which is written in independent form and includes the limitations of claim 21 and its base claim. It is believed that the new claim 37 avoids the objection raised against claim 21.

Claim 30 has been rejected as being indefinite and as not being a proper method claim. Claim 30 has been amended to specify the step of coloring glasses or plastics and it is believed that this amendment removes the rejections under 35 USC 112 and 35 USC 101.

Applicant gratefully knowledges that the examiner has indicated that claims 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-29 and 31-36 contain allowable subject matter. Since no objection or rejection has been raised against claims 31-36, applicant believes that these claims are allowable as they stand.

Applicant has rewritten claims 20, 23 and 27 in independent form, including the limitations of the respective base claims and any intervening claims, and is therefore believed that claims 20, 23 and 27 are allowable. It follows that the dependent claims also are allowable.

Since the examiner indicated that claim 21 contains allowable subject matter it is believed that the new claim 37 is allowable.

In view of the cancellation of claim 22, claim 25 has been amended to be dependent on claim 23.

Respectfully submitted,

/John Smith-Hill/

John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
16100 N.W. Cornell Road, Suite 220
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197

Docket: SWIN 3487